

## Consultation feedback and actions

*The following table details the feedback received from Statutory Organisations, Businesses and Stakeholders and Individual Residents during the Neighbourhood Plan consultation period which started on the 4th November 2013 and ended on the 15th December 2013. A draft NP document was circulated to all relevant organisations and publicised within the parish of Loxwood by various means as detailed in the record of consultations table attached. Responses are detailed below identifying the name of organisation or individual and their contact details where possible.*

## Statutory Organisation Feedback

Feedback Index number	Organisation/ Business or Individual Name	Contact Details	Method of Feedback	Date of Feedback	Page of Draft NP	Relevant Paragraph of Draft NP	Comment Received	Loxwood Parish Council (LPC) - Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group (NPSG) response	NP Amended Y/N
1	Chichester District Council (CDC)	Mr Tom Bell Neighbourhood Planning Officer Chichester District Council	e-mail	15/12/13	3	Executive Summary	A five year review will require a formal process to be defined as per the Local Plan	Agree with CDC comment and will await Government guidance on this point	N
2	Chichester District Council (CDC)	East Pallant House 1 East Pallant Chichester		15/12/13	4	Contents	Possibility to include policy on protection and enhancement of the historic environment	Agreed - Para 8 on page 14 on Listed Buildings will be redrafted as Heritage Assets and the Built Environment - Vernacular policy 11 covering this point will be amended	Y
3	Chichester District Council (CDC)	West Sussex PO19 1TY		15/12/13	4	Contents	Possibility to include a policy on specific design of developments referencing a Village Design Statement	Policy 17.11 on the Built Environment - vernacular policy specifically refers to the Loxwood Village Design Statement and the VDS is incorporated within the evidence base	N
4	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	4	Contents	Combine policies 17.1, 17.4, 17.5 and 17.6	The NPSG believes it is important to segregate these policies to ensure clarity and to enable a detailed rationale and description of each allocated site to be recorded	N
5	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	4	Contents	CDC commented on the need for a Habitats Regulations Assessment and the possible confusion of an Equalities Impact Assessment and Environmental Impact Assessment. CDC suggest writing formally to the CDC to determine if a Sustainability Assessment, a Strategic Environmental Assessment or a Habitats Regulations Assessment need be incorporated within the NP	NPSG agree and have written to CDC through the LPC to seek agreement that SA/EA/HRA's need not be included within the NP. Consequent on confirmation being received, these sections will be amended to record this agreement	Y
6	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	7	Vision and Objectives - para 5f	There is no provision within the NP for a parish rural housing policy for development outside the Settlement Boundary	NPSG fully agree to this omission. Policy 17.13 - Rural Area Policy will be re-written to include a policy on housing development within the countryside outside of the Settlement Boundary and make reference to named local hamlets of Alfold Bars and Round Street Common. In addition Street lighting, WACT/Tourism and Energy Efficiency objectives will be included to support the relevant policies.	Y
7	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	7	Vision Statement	Could include a commitment to preserving and enhancing Loxwood parish character and unique sense of place	Vision Statement will be rewritten to reflect this point	Y
8	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	9	Para 6.1d and 6.1h	"Plan Area (North)" should read "north of the Plan Area"	Agreed - wording will be changed	Y
9	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	14	Listed Buildings - para 8	The NP could refer to other heritage assets as well as just listed buildings including non- designated assets and other local areas of archaeological importance	Para 8 of the NP will be redefined as "Heritage Assets" and cover listed building and other heritage assets of a local nature. Policy 17.18 will be amended to include protection of local heritage assets and the environment	Y
10	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	14	Sites of Special Scientific Interest and Conservation Areas - para 9	The Wey & Arun Canal Trust (WACT) is believed to be seeking conservation area designation. Possibility to include reference to future conservation area designation of village assets.	Para 9 will be amended to include reference to future conservation area designations subject to the appropriate processes	Y
11	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	19	Sustainability Appraisal - para 14.1	Confirm the reference to CDC policy documentation	This policy refers to Policy 1 of the Emerging Local Plan and also Local Agenda 21 Strategy – Sustainability Statement. These references will be added to the text	Y
12	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	20	Housing Allocation Policy - para 17.1.1	Some confusion about housing numbers	Para 17.1.1. will be amended to clarify the overall housing numbers required in the North East Cluster of parishes north of the Plan area. The allocated number of houses for Loxwood parish will be clarified.	Y

**LOXWOOD NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN - PARISH CONSULTATION FEEDBACK**

Feedback Index number	Organisation/ Business or Individual Name	Contact Details	Method of Feedback	Date of Feedback	Page of Draft NP	Relevant Paragraph of Draft NP	Comment Received	Loxwood Parish Council (LPC) - Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group (NPSG) response	NP Amended Y/N
13	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	20	Settlement Boundary Policy - para 17.2	The land to the north of North Hall could be identified as a community asset and included within the SB	This piece of land currently falls outside the existing 2013 SPA. The land is already owned by the LPC and as such enjoys community asset status. Future development of this land would be prohibited as a consequence of its LPC ownership	N
14	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	20	Settlement Boundary Policy - para 17.2	Include evidence supporting the need for changes to the Settlement Boundary	Para 17.2 will be amended to reflect the rationale behind the changes to the Settlement Boundary in support of the two allocated development sites.	Y
15	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	20	para 17.2.2	This policy statement is restrictive and non-compliant with the NPPF as it only allows agricultural and business development outside the Settlement Boundary	The NPSG agree that this is an omission to the Rural development policy in para 17.13. The policy will be amended to correct this omission - see Feedback Index Number 6 for actions proposed	Y
16	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	22	Site Assessments & Allocations Policy - Policy 3	The second paragraph is too strongly worded	Agreed - The words "must conform" will be replaced by the word "should"	Y
17	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	23	Land at Farm Close - Policy 4	Policy appears to be based upon the current planning application for a mixed development of 17 houses. Need to ensure evidence to support this policy is contained within the planning application	A plan of the proposed development is attached at appendix 2 of the draft NP and includes all but one of the community benefits listed. A commitment for the traffic calming measures is contained within the minutes of a meeting with the developers, Kitewood, and the NPSG and this is contained in the evidence base.	N
18	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	23	Land at Farm Close - Policy 4a	Mix of affordable to market houses does not show a majority of affordables.	Agreed - Two additional market houses (9 instead of 7) have been included in the planning application and the proportion of affordables will be amended to reflect this change	Y
19	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	23	Land at Farm Close - Policy 4b	Suggestion to identify the land south and east of the Farm Close proposed development as a community asset/ parkland.	Agreed - The proposed new Settlement Boundary diagram will be amended to show both this area and the land adjacent to North Hall as community spaces	Y
20	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	23	Land at Farm Close - Policy 4	Is there evidence for the mix of affordable houses between elderly and other on the housing register?	There is evidence within the evidence base detailing the mix of affordable houses required by the Housing Officer. In addition the demographics information in para 13.1 shows a distinct skewed age profile distribution towards the elderly population.	N
21	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	23	Nursery Site - Policy 5	Has an indicative site layout been developed that shows the envisaged development?	At the time of publishing the draft NP - No.	Y
22	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	24	Nursery Site - Policy 5b	Suggested clarification of wording of fifth bullet point	Bullet point will be amended to read - "Designs to incorporate village traffic calming"	Y
23	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	24	Nursery Site - Policy 5a	Concern over the density of the Nursery site.	The nursery site has been allocated to accommodate the balance of 43 houses from the total of 60 required for Loxwood parish after the Farm Close site has been taken into consideration. The developers have in fact suggested a larger settlement boundary area to accommodate this number plus the proposed village green space and retail units at a density of 20 dph. The SB will be amended accordingly.	Y
24	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	24	Nursery Site - Policy 5b	Concern over the definition of "home/Work Units" and the possibility of later conversion to residential use.	The terminology will be redrafted to "small business premises" as this is what was originally envisaged.	Y
25	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	24	Nursery Site - para 17.5.7	Provide reference to a suitable trigger for Traffic Calming initiatives driven from the Farm Close development	The NP will be amended to include reference to the source of Traffic Calming funding, either through the use of S106 monies, or Community Infrastructure Levy funding (CIL).	Y
26	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	24	Land south of Loxwood Farm Place - Policy 6	The NP is required only to define allocated sites for development and not those that are precluded from development	Agreed - the entire policy and supporting preamble will be deleted.	Y
27	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	24	Affordable Housing Policy	Concern over the evidence to support a valid mix of affordable housing to meet SHMA and the local housing register need plus the need to include intermediate housing in the right proportion and in line with the NPPF	The NPSG has used the demographic data contained in the NP and obtained from the 2011 census to show the trends in age profiles within the village. Also developers will be encouraged to provide accommodation in line with the SHMA and local housing register needs at the time of implementing the development through discussion and consultation with the LPC.	N

## LOXWOOD NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN - PARISH CONSULTATION FEEDBACK

Feedback Index number	Organisation/ Business or Individual Name	Contact Details	Method of Feedback	Date of Feedback	Page of Draft NP	Relevant Paragraph of Draft NP	Comment Received	Loxwood Parish Council (LPC) - Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group (NPSG) response	NP Amended Y/N
28	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	24	Affordable Housing Policy - para 17.7.1	Current data on the number of houses for rent within the village is 55 not 60	The figure will be amended in the NP	Y
29	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	25	Affordable Housing - policy 7	Provide evidence of the definition of local need and /or refer to the CDC definition	Agreed - NP will be amended and redefined to meet CDC saved and emerging Local Plan definition including the Rural Housing Allocations Policy.	Y
30	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	25	Affordable Housing Policy - para 17.7.2	Policy appears to be based on policy H9 of the Saved Local Plan which is intended to apply only to exception sites outside the settlement boundary. The emerging local plan does not have an affordable housing allocations policy within the SB. A rural housing allocation policy is now in place. Need to check whether this will apply to affordable houses within the SB going forward	See above amendment	
31	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	25	Affordable Housing Policy - para 17.7.4	Unsure where the 70-75% figures come from	These figures were quoted by Linda Grange of CDC at a recent CDC NP planning meeting	N
32	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	25	Affordable Housing Policy - policy 7b	Need to amend typo "or" instead of "of".	Agreed but will also redraft wording to be in compliance with CDC Saved Local Plan	Y
33	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	25	Street Lighting policy - Policy 8	Appears a bit over-prescriptive and could be worded to meet minimum safety standards	Agreed - policy 8 will be simplified and refer to West Sussex County Council guidelines on minimum safety standard for street lighting	Y
34	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	26	Infrastructure - Foul Water policy - Policy 9	Need to ensure that any planned improvements to the sewer system are secured before any new development is occupied. Also why limit toe development of 6 or more?	Agreed - Policy will be redrafted to apply to all new housing built within the parish and that any necessary improvements are completed before occupation of the dwellings	Y
35	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	27	Built Environment - Vernacular policy	Suggestion to have a separate historic environment policy	Agreed - see Feedback Index number 2 which will provide such a policy	
36	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	27	Built Environment - Vernacular policy - Policy 11	Second paragraph reads more like supporting text	Agreed - this will be reworded and simplified	Y
37	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	28	Built Environment - Vernacular policy - Policy 11 criterion d	Suggest removing reference to concrete tiles	Disagree - All of the recent developments over 6 houses have utilised concrete tiles which are a more economic material. Natural slate, whilst being more carbon friendly, are not in keeping with the village vernacular. Concrete tiles have been included within the Village Design Statement since 2003	N
38	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	28	Built Environment - Vernacular policy - Policy 11 criterion e	Consider not ruling out Dormer windows	Agreed in part. Flat roofed dormer windows will be excluded but pitched roofs will be included	Y
39	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	28	Built Environment - Vernacular policy - Policy 11 criterion f	Clarity required on the use of "open plan being the norm"	Wording will be revised	Y
40	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	28	Built Environment - Vernacular policy - Policy 11 criterion h	Wording seems more suited to the supporting text	Agreed - wording will be reviewed to make this more of a policy statement, as it refers to the VDS which is intended to form part of this policy	Y
41	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	28	Built Environment - Vernacular policy - Policy 11 criterion i	Use of the words "conserve and enhance " instead of "protect"	Agreed - wording will be amended	Y
42	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	28	Built Environment - Vernacular policy - Policy 11 criterion j	Suggest rewording as follows - "The design of developments should recognise the distinctive local character and sensitively contribute to creating places of a high architectural and rural quality"	Agreed - wording will be amended	Y
43	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	29	The Rural Area Policy - Policy 13	Need to make this policy more locally specific as it is essentially a repeat of the Emerging Local Plan and the NPPF	Agreed - This policy has already been addressed in Feedback Index number 6 to include reference to housing development within the local countryside and referencing the Emerging Local Plan and the NPPF.	Y
44	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	29	Housing Extensions - style and vernacular policy - Policy 14	Cannot apply to permitted development rights extensions	Agreed - will amend to make specific to extensions which require planning applications	Y

LOXWOOD NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN - PARISH CONSULTATION FEEDBACK

Feedback Index number	Organisation/ Business or Individual Name	Contact Details	Method of Feedback	Date of Feedback	Page of Draft NP	Relevant Paragraph of Draft NP	Comment Received	Loxwood Parish Council (LPC) - Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group (NPSG) response	NP Amended Y/N
45	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	30	Telecommunications & connectivity policy - Policy 16	This appears to fall outside of the NP remit in terms of what could reasonably be expected to be provided by a developer	Text for this policy taken from a recently approved NP. Agreed that the text is too complex and it will be simplified. However the NPSG is keen to maintain the principle that connectivity should be built in and future proofed as far as is practicable for new developments	Y
46	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	31	Traffic Calming & Speed through the Parish - Policy 17	There appear to be not trigger to outline when traffic calming will be required. Possibly link specific traffic calming measures to specific developments	Agreed - will include bullets within the policy which identify specific sites and measures. This policy is driven by a URS traffic Calming survey and study sponsored by the NPSG to determine where and what type of traffic calming measures were suitable within the village of Loxwood. This is the number one concern of the village when surveyed for the CLP	Y
47	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	32	Environmental Characteristics policy - Policy 18	This appears to repeat the intent of policy 40 of the Emerging CDC Local Plan. Is it necessary?	This may be true but insofar as it relates to emerging environmental codes which are still under consideration, despite timescales for implementation which may not be realisable, the NPSG would prefer to leave this policy in for the present	N
48	Chichester District Council (CDC)			15/12/13	33	Flood Risk - Policy 19	This policy is not consistent with the NPPF para104. Development outside a flood risk area is not required to undertake a flood risk assessment and in flood risk areas 2 & 3, it would not be compliant to prohibit development.	Agreed - Wording will be amended to refer to the NPPF and with Policy 42 of the Emerging Local Plan. The text will continue to refer to the need for an assessment of the risk of local flooding as a consequence of any proposed development as Loxwood has a particular surface water flooding problem in various parts of the parish	Y
49	West Sussex County Council	Lucy Seymour-Bowdery Planner Planning & Transport Policy Team Strategic Planning Communications & Infrastructure West Sussex County Council Northleigh Chichester 03302 226448 lucy.seymour-bowdery@westsussex.gov.uk	e-mail	13/12/13		General comments	WSCC response is that they do not respond to individual NP Pre-submissions and refer all NP processes through to CDC and their established protocols.  WSCC have provided contact details and given that the Loxwood NP is concerned with Traffic Calming within the parish, have also given contact details for development applications and highways and transportation links.	No action required at this stage. Response included as record of consultation with WSCC	N
50	Southern Water	Clare Gibbons Development Manager Southern Water Southern House, Lewes Road Falmer, Brighton East Sussex, BN1 9PY 01273 663143 www.southernwater.co.uk	Letter	12/12/13		General comments and a few specific policy points	Commented on the need for investment proposals on a five yearly cycle to determine infrastructure investment programmes for the next 5 years. The next OFWAT review will be in 2014.  OFWATs view is that local infrastructure, such as local sewers, are funded by the Development in question and be connected to the sewer infrastructure at the nearest point of adequate capacity	No action required on the general comments. See below for individual policy comments	N
51					23	Land at Farm Close - Policy 4	There are existing sewers below the site at Farm Close that need to be taken into account when designing the new development An easement of 10 metres wide is likely to be required and early consultation with Southern Water is encouraged	The Developers of Farm Close0, "Kitewood", Have already submitted plans to CDC and Southern Water for the proposed development at Farm Close and have received detailed feedback from Southern Water as the requirements for Sewerage improvements and connectivity points. This issue is for the Developers to take into account within their planning application.	N

## LOXWOOD NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN - PARISH CONSULTATION FEEDBACK

Feedback Index number	Organisation/ Business or Individual Name	Contact Details	Method of Feedback	Date of Feedback	Page of Draft NP	Relevant Paragraph of Draft NP	Comment Received	Loxwood Parish Council (LPC) - Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group (NPSG) response	NP Amended Y/N
52					28	Infrastructure Foul Water Policy - Policy 9	Southern Water supports the policy to address the requirement for sewer infrastructure improvements with new developments in accordance with the NPPF para 157. Southern Water would expect new developments not to make existing sewer infrastructure issues worse and that new developments should connect to the nearest point of adequate capacity. The NPPF para 162 requires that an assessment be carried out to determine the quality and capacity of the infrastructure for water and waste water. Assessments for the two proposed allocated sites within the Loxwood NP have been carried out and it has been determined that there is limited spare capacity. This is not a constraint to local development as long as these developments connect at the nearest point of adequate capacity. Southern Water suggest wording changes to support this view to Policy 9	The NPSG agree with the position stated by Southern Water and will change the wording of Policy 9 to accommodate the principle that new developments should connect to the nearest point of adequate capacity.  However, the NPSG does not agree to removing the wording relating to the need to ensure that new developments should not worsen the risk of flooding/system back up due to surface water drainage and seepage into the main sewer system. This is an important point for the residents of Loxwood and neighbouring Alfold as there are many instances of sewer back up and overflow into residential properties within the village and outlying settlements e.g. Alfold Bars.	Y
53	Natural England	John Lister Lead Adviser Land Use Services Team - Ashford Natural England 0790 060 8172 john.lister@naturalengland.org.uk	email	13/12/13		Habitats Regulations Assessment - Para 15	Suggest inclusion of an objective to "enhance and protect" the local landscape and rural character of Loxwood Parish through measures such as retaining and re-inforcing features such as woodlands, tree belts etc. and to enhance habitats and bio-diversity through care for BAP and habitats networks	Agreed - an objective will be included within para 5 (Vision and Objectives) dealing with this point. Changes are already being proposed to address issues of the environment within para 9, Listed Buildings, which will be changed to "Heritage Assets" and will include the environment - see Feedback Index Number 9.	Y
54						Habitats Regulations Assessment - Para 15	HRA s are covered in the work undertaken within the Emerging CDC Local Plan and this section may not be needed.	Agreed - CDC have already addressed this point and the LPC has written a letter asking CDC to confirm whether this section is required .	Pending

## Stakeholder and Local Business Feedback

55	Landlinx Estates	Paul White Director of Planning Genesis Town Planning 26 Chapel Street Chichester West Sussex, PO19 1DL 01243 534050 paul@genesistp.co.uk	email	01/12/13	7	Vision & Objectives - Para 5.2a	Amend this objective to include reference to CDC local Plan policy 5	Agreed - wording will be amended	Y
56					20	Housing Allocation Policy - Policy 1	Suggestion to reword to state "at least" 60 houses subject to layout considerations and identified housing need	Not Agreed - The Emerging CDC Local Plan Policy 5 Parish Housing Sites to 2029 give indicative housing numbers for each of the parishes in the Plan Area (North). This number is for allocated sites of 6 or more dwellings. Other developments of less than 6 dwellings are considered to be "Windfall Sites" and are not contained within the NP.	N
57					20	Settlement Boundary - Policy2	In order to accommodate the allocated houses for the Nursery Site under policy 5, additional land should be included within the SB to enable a housing density of 20 dph or more as well as the required green spaces and retail units.	Agreed - the SB will be increased to a size of 2.1 hectares to accommodate the proposed development subject to planning permission being granted	Y
58					23	Nursery Site - Para 17.5.1	Site area incorrectly identified as 4.4 hectares when only 1.4 hectares are shown on the SB.	The entire nursery site is in fact 4.4 hectares, but the proposed development of 43 dwellings together with potential retail units and a green space for the parish will require a space of up to 2.1 hectares. Para 17.5.1 is correct, but Policy 5 will be amended to state the area of the site which will be acceptable for development at 2.1 hectares.	Y
59					24	Nursery Site - Para 17.5.5	Simplify wording to remove reference to the school relocation	Agreed - wording will be amended	Y

**LOXWOOD NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN - PARISH CONSULTATION FEEDBACK**

Feedback Index number	Organisation/ Business or Individual Name	Contact Details	Method of Feedback	Date of Feedback	Page of Draft NP	Relevant Paragraph of Draft NP	Comment Received	Loxwood Parish Council (LPC) - Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group (NPSG) response	NP Amended Y/N
60					24	Nursery Site - Para 17.5.6	Include reference to Prioritisation to meet local need for the affordable housing	Agreed - wording will be amended	Y
61					24	Land South of Loxwood Farm Place - Policy 17.6	Suggest deletion of Policy 6	Agreed - this comment already dealt with under Feedback Index Number 20 of CDCs consultation input	Already dealt with
62					24	Affordable Housing Policy - Policy 7	Suggested reword to provide affordable housing on allocated sites in accordance with CDC Emerging Local Plan policy 34 and in rural areas under policy 35. Prioritisation of letting policy to be left to the Local Plan	Already agreed to reword this policy in line with CDC comments and will incorporate this feedback as well.	Y
63					25	Street Lighting - Policy 8	Suggest reword to ensure this restriction will not impact road adoption	Already agreed with CDC to reword this to meet WSCC requirements.	Already dealt with
64					26	Infrastructure - Foul Water - Policy 9	This policy seems to restrict developments of 6 or more houses and prevents the development of the 2 allocated sites	Disagree - the wording seeks to ensure that a rigorous analysis of the impact of a new development of 6 or more houses will be required. Southern Water have already commented that all new developments will be responsible for ensuring that the sewer infrastructure will support the new developments being proposed and that any changes will need to be done by developers to enable a connection to the existing sewer infrastructure at the nearest point of adequate capacity.	N

**Individual Resident Feedback**

*This feedback was obtained during the consultation period from the 4th November 2013 to 15th December 2013 during which residents were encouraged to write into the Parish Clerk or e-mail the Loxwood Parish web site. In addition two consultation open days were held on the 24th November and 3rd December respectively at North Hall where attendees were encouraged to leave behind their comments on forms available for that purpose.*

65	Cathy & Howard Thomas		email	07/11/13		General comment	Concern that local government planning regimes do not interface across county borders to ensure sustainable development at the point of interface	Agreed but not an NP issue	N
66						General comment	Include an appendix summary of all policies to make reference to them easier	Will be considered once the NP is finalised	Deferred
67					17	Economy & Business paras 12.11 and 12.12	Confusion as to the source of "economically active adults" and the reference to percentages in the charts which do not include actual numbers	The Source of all statistical data is the Office of National Statistics 2011 census. This is stated in para 12.1. 1 Para 12.2 states the total number of residents and the 917 which are defined as economically active adults. The data in the table in para12.11 is limited to the top ten most populated employment sectors and will not therefore add up to the 917 number. Charts 2 and 3 will be amended to include actual numbers.	Y
68	Jonathan Lane		email	28/11/13		General comments	Expresses support for the no street lighting policy and suggests that any pedestrian crossing proposed under the traffic Calming measures do not include flashing yellow beacons.	Comments noted and will be taken under advisement when detailed plans for traffic calming measures are developed subject to WSCC highways traffic standards for such crossings.	N
69	Kelly Heath and Geoff Richards		email	15/12/13		General comments	Concern that people outside of the parish make planning decisions which affect the residents (i.e. CDC). Want the residents and LPC to have a greater say in the planning decisions which affect the parish	Comment noted but this is not an NP issue. The NP will give the LPC a much greater influence on planning decisions and the nature of future developments and as such will achieve the objectives of the respondent	N

## LOXWOOD NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN - PARISH CONSULTATION FEEDBACK

Feedback Index number	Organisation/ Business or Individual Name	Contact Details	Method of Feedback	Date of Feedback	Page of Draft NP	Relevant Paragraph of Draft NP	Comment Received	Loxwood Parish Council (LPC) - Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group (NPSG) response	NP Amended Y/N
70					23	Land at Farm Close - Policy 4	Object to this development on the basis of insufficient need/infrastructure/water/electricity supplies.	There is an identifiable need for more affordable housing within Loxwood to help retain the young and to provide downsizing opportunities for the elderly. The village was asked in the CLP survey and subsequently in circular to all residents within the village to support the mix of affordable to open market housing and this was given by the majority of those responding. This site was voted the premier site for future development within the village.	N
71	Mrs Elizabeth Dugdale		Letter	13/12/13		General Comments	Concern that the provisions of the VDS in terms of the importance of preserving the countryside and rural feel of Loxwood, including green lanes and twitters is being lost within the NP	The NPSG have agreed to amend various sections of the plan to include reference to the protection of the natural and built heritage of the parish of Loxwood (see feedback Index numbers 3,7,10,35,42 and 53)	Already addressed
72						General Comments	Concern over the impression that the 60 houses allocated within the CDC Emerging Local Plan will be exceeded	The NP only seeks to allocate 60 houses on 2 sites within the Settlement Boundary. Development of sites of less than 6 houses falls outside of the remit and control of the NP as these are considered to be "windfall developments". The NP will seek to identify suitable sites for small windfall developments but cannot be as prescriptive on where these may occur	N
73	Mr Hugh Kersey		email	13/12/13	21	Settlement Boundary	Concern that the SB has not been redrafted to include all dwellings previously outside the old Settlement Policy Area (SPA), including his residence and that this may affect his dwelling classification	The redrawn SB proposed in the NP has been changed only to include the allocated sites which will cover the requirement for 60 new dwelling over the plan period, existing developments since the boundary was last drawn and to include the potential for windfall development at land adjacent to North Hall. Designation outside the SB does not affect the domestic dwelling status of existing houses, nor does it imply that these should only be agricultural or business premises. There are many other houses outside the SB which are included within the village and it was never the intention to include all of these within the SB. In addition the definition of development within the rural area is being redrafted to include the possibility of limited necessary development in the countryside in accordance with the requirements of the NPPF, the CDC Saved Local Plan and the Emerging CDC local plan.	N
74	Christopher Chapman		email	14/12/13		Traffic Calming & Speed through the Parish - Policy 17	Supports the need for reducing the speed through the village and any measures to curb HGVs along the B2133. Also wants to see more collaboration between neighbouring County Councils in terms of traffic and speed issues.	The results of the traffic survey and report commissioned by the NPSG will address these issues although preliminary discussions with WSCC suggests that a ban on HGVs would not be successful. The possible way to achieve a self limiting status for HGVs is to ensure that what traffic calming measures are introduced make it difficult for HGVs to navigate through the village or make it time consuming to do so.	N
75	Margaret Carr		Consultation meeting	24/11/13			Fully supportive - no comments	No further action	N
76	Yvonne Rees		Consultation meeting	24/11/13			Fully supportive - no comments	No further action	N
77	Ian Barnard		Consultation meeting	24/11/13	31	Traffic Calming & Speed through the Parish - Policy 17	Fully supportive - Traffic calming should have high priority	No further action	N
78	Bryan Smith		Consultation meeting	24/11/13	23	Nursery Site - Policy 5	Full support of NP but concern over lack of provision for a new school within the village. Accepts the need for additional housing, but not if it means not having a new school to taken the overflow of children	The issue of affordability for a new school is addressed in the pre-ambles to policy 17.5 concerning the Nursery site which had been identified as the most suitable location for a new school. West Sussex County Council have the responsibility to provide the necessary number of educational places for the expanding population within the county boundary. However, they have limited funding and apply this to areas of greatest need. Small local village communities are unlikely to benefit from sufficient funding for a new school for the foreseeable future.	N

## LOXWOOD NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN - PARISH CONSULTATION FEEDBACK

Feedback Index number	Organisation/ Business or Individual Name	Contact Details	Method of Feedback	Date of Feedback	Page of Draft NP	Relevant Paragraph of Draft NP	Comment Received	Loxwood Parish Council (LPC) - Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group (NPSG) response	NP Amended Y/N
79	Peter Hyem		Consultation meeting	24/11/13	31	Traffic Calming & Speed through the Parish - Policy 17	Concern that despite recent speed restrictions at Alfold Bars , traffic speed is still an ongoing issue. Signage agreed and funded by WSCC has still not been implemented. Can the NP address this?	Alfold Bars is not excluded from the Traffic Calming policy within the NP. Decisions about what traffic calming can be implemented and how quickly will follow through the Parish Council when it considers how to proceed with whatever funding is available.	N
80	Anita Bates		Consultation meeting	24/11/13	23	Nursery Site - Policy 5	Not convinced that 43 houses will fit onto the proposed SB extension for this development	Agreed - this point addressed already and the area will increase to 2.1 hectares to ensure all proposed development and retail units/ open space will be accommodated.	N
81					31	Traffic Calming & Speed through the Parish - Policy 17	Plea for no traffic bumps or raised platforms as traffic calming measures	The URS Traffic Calming report commissioned by the NPSG addresses the type and suitability of measures that could be implemented. The LPC will need to decide what measures are most acceptable to the residents of the parish and whether funding will permit these to be implemented.	N
82					23	Land at Farm Close - Policy 4	What will the nature of landscaping be on the donated land south and east of the Farm Close development and who will maintain it?	The NPSG have already had discussions with the developer, Kitewood , about these issues. A schematic layout of a community park and woodland with integral pathways has been proposed. Once the land is donated to the LPC, Kitewood will also donate a fund to maintain this community asset for a period of 35 years under an S106 agreement.	N
83	Featherstone and Ford		Consultation meeting	24/11/13		Out of parish comment	Fully supportive - no comments	No further action	N
84	Mr B Frost		Consultation meeting	24/11/13	32	Flood Risk - Policy 19	Concern that the flooding risk already experienced by some local residents is addressed before new houses are built	This issue is addresses in the policy and will be amended as described in Feedback Index Number 52 above	N
85	Mrs Hannah Harbottle		Consultation meeting	24/11/13	23	Nursery Site - Policy 5	Concern over the lack of places at Loxwood Primary school to accommodate more development	See response to Feedback Index Number 78 above	N
86	MR R J Setterfield		Consultation meeting	24/11/13	31	Traffic Calming & Speed through the Parish - Policy 17	Concern over speed past the entrance to North Hall	The URS Traffic Calming report commissioned by the NPSG addresses the type and suitability of measures that could be implemented. The LPC will need to decide what measures are most acceptable to the residents of the parish and whether funding will permit these to be implemented.	N
87	Mr Stewart & Mrs Anne Holmes		Consultation meeting	24/11/13			Fully supportive - no comments	No further action	N
88	Mr David & Mrs Hilary Mahony		Consultation meeting	24/11/13			Fully supportive - no comments	No further action	N
89	Mr & Mrs TC Walker		Consultation meeting	03/12/13			Fully supportive - Wants the Vernacular policy enforced for all Alterations and Additions	No further action	N
90	Mr Roger Newman		Consultation meeting	03/12/13	27	Built Environment - Vernacular Policy - Policy 11	Concern that the vernacular policy is too restrictive. Some extensions change the from and shape of a building to their advantage. There should not be a percentage restriction on floor area.	CDC lay down the guidance for extensions and additions to existing houses in their guide "Design Guidelines for Alterations to Dwellings & Extensions - (Revised September 2009)". For the Rural areas, a guideline of a maximum increase in floor area of 50% is quoted. Also extensions should not materially change the appearance and character of an existing property. The NP refers to and reflects the Village Design Statement.	N
91					30	Telecommunications & Connectivity - Policy 16	This policy seeks to predict the future and insisting on ducting is not necessary	This policy will be the subject of simplification - see Feedback Index Number 45. BT have already indicated that Fibre Optic Broadband is planned for the village in the near future and the NPSG feel that future proofing new developments is a sensible and wise policy to follow to allow occupiers the ability to connect without major disruption to the fabric of their homes.	N
92					31	Traffic Calming - Policy 17	Care should be taken in the design of any traffic calming measures - bumps and "cushions" should be avoided.	The NPSG has commissioned a traffic study to elicit the most suitable measures that could be undertaken to both reduce the speed of traffic through the village and to improve road safety of pedestrians and cyclists. Comments on Bumps and Cushions are noted and will be taken into consideration when designing any appropriate measures.	N



## LOXWOOD NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN - PARISH CONSULTATION FEEDBACK

Feedback Index number	Organisation/ Business or Individual Name	Contact Details	Method of Feedback	Date of Feedback	Page of Draft NP	Relevant Paragraph of Draft NP	Comment Received	Loxwood Parish Council (LPC) - Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group (NPSG) response	NP Amended Y/N
93					28	Built Environment - Vernacular Policy - Policy 11h	The VDS is out of date	The NPSG has referred to the VDS and included it as a component part of the NP as it does reflect the view of the residents of the Village over the last 10 years and nothing significant has changed. This policy adds to the VDS and brings the design requirements of the village up to date.	N
94					7	Referendum	The majority percentage needs better definition in the timeline	The referendum is carried out independently on behalf of CDC in line with normal local government elections and as such is out of the control of the LPC. The requirement is for a majority in favour of those voting for the NP to be accepted.	N
95	Peter Dale		Consultation meeting	03/12/13	31	Traffic Calming - Policy 17	Traffic Calming is and urgent need within the parish	Agreed - Policy 17 seeks to address this together with the traffic Calming study commissioned by the LPC	N
96	30				Telecommunications & Connectivity - Policy 16	Pressure needs to be applied to BT to provide a modern internet capability.	BT have already indicated that Fibre Optic Broadband is planned for the village in the near future. The NP cannot control the introduction of this part of the village infrastructure, but will seek progress reports through the LPC	N	
97	26				Infrastructure - Foul Water policy - Policy 9	Concern over the inadequacies of the existing sewer infrastructure and the impact that more new houses will have on an already overstretched system.	The Foul water policy 9 seeks to address this issue by requiring developers to perform a rigorous analysis of the affect any new development will have on the sewer infrastructure. Southern Water have indicated that they support this policy and normally require developers to include infrastructure improvements to Foul Water infrastructure as part of their development plans after appropriate assessments of the submitted plans.	N	
98	23				Nursery Site - Policy 5	Concern that if the school is believed to be inadequate for the number of potential new children as a consequence e of new developments being proposed, then the WSCC Education Authority should be required to ensure that our children get the places and school buildings that they deserve	The NPSG fully agree with this statement. However, funding for new schools is non-existent according to discussions with WSCC Education Authority. The NP can only plan for the possible and has to rely on the appropriate County and District Council bodies to do their part to accommodate the additional numbers of children that their plans for additional housing will inevitably bring to the community.	N	
99	Peter Winney		Consultation meeting	03/12/13	24	Affordable Housing Policy - Policy 7	No mention of the proportion of Affordable houses required in each new development	The proportion of Affordable housing is set by CDC in their Saved and Emerging Local plans. This is currently 390% of all new housing where there is a net increase in housing. Feedback Index number 62 addresses this point and the NP will be amended accordingly	N
100					General Comment	There appears to be no reference to the provision of adequate parking for residents in new developments	Adequate resident parking is a requirement of the planning process and it falls to CDC Planning Department to ensure that any new development is provided with adequate resident parking. In addition, the LPC /NPSG will undertake negotiations with potential new developers to ensure that proper facilities are provided under the S106 and CIL provisions for new developments. This will included adequate parking for residents and visitors.	N	
101	Steve & Alix Parsons		Consultation meeting	03/12/13	31	Traffic Calming - Policy 17	Concern over the speed of traffic past the nursery site and the need for traffic calming measures here.	Feed Back index number 92 addresses this point through the URS Traffic Study commissioned by the NPSG	N
102	23				Nursery Site - Policy 5	Not keen on the Nursery site development as the village has already lost an old barn and farmland adjacent to the Post Office and this site will be all houses,, Detracting form the rural nature of the village.	The proposals for the Nursery site include a village green and establishment of a community area which could form a village centre. No plans have been submitted as yet for this site but the NPSG will ensure that a suitable format is proposed once the NP is in place.	N	
103	Mr R Brennan		Consultation meeting	03/12/13		General Infrastructure comments	Traffic issues, electricity supply and road infrastructure are of concern with the proposal for more housing, particularly the Nursery site land.	Policy 17 seeks to address the traffic and speed issues that are of general concern within the parish. The electricity supply is the responsibility of the provider Scottish and Southern Electric. They will be involved in any planning application in terms of securing an adequate power supply.	N
104	John Baker		Consultation meeting	03/12/13			Fully supportive - What of the impact of a change in Government?	No further action	N

LOXWOOD NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN - PARISH CONSULTATION FEEDBACK

Feedback Index number	Organisation/ Business or Individual Name	Contact Details	Method of Feedback	Date of Feedback	Page of Draft NP	Relevant Paragraph of Draft NP	Comment Received	Loxwood Parish Council (LPC) - Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group (NPSG) response	NP Amended Y/N
105	Mrs Patricia Breakell		Consultation meeting	03/12/13		General Concerns	Concerns over - a) Speeding; b) Sewage; c) School capacity; d) Bus Services; e) car parking for shoppers; f) Employment for additional workers ; Street lighting	These concerns are expressed individually by many other respondents and the responses can be found in the feedback index numbers above. Employment can only be addressed by encouraging local businesses to expand or new small businesses to come into the parish. The Rural Area Policy 13 addresses this to a degree and is enhanced by further comment detailed above.	N
106	Nigel Gibbons		email	25/11/13	23	Nursery Site - Policy 5	Expressed concern about the mobile phone mast situated on the nursery site in terms of the health and commercial issues of it's location with respect to the potential housing development on this site. The length of lease in relation to this mast is relevant	The Nursery site has yet to have a definitive planning application proposed for this site. As and when this is submitted, due consideration will be given by the LPC/NPSG to the location of the mobile phone mast to the housing development and any health related issues.	N
107	Peter Hughes		email	12/10/13		General Comment	Recommends that when feeding back the results of the consultation period and meetings to the residents, the NPSG create a brief summary together with a map of the Settlement Boundary together with a list of the policies. The full document can be available upon request by anyone.	The NPSG will take these CommNet into consideration when feeding back the consultation results	n
108	James Jewell		email	06/11/13	21	Settlement Boundary - Figure 6	Concern that the map of Loxwood is out of date in respect of boundaries to properties backing onto the Ancient Woodland contained within the SB. Each property owns the land right up to the boundary with the Badgers Way development	The maps used are those supplied by CDC planning department dated 2012 and provided by the Ordnance Survey organisation. The NPSG suggests that representation be made by all affected residents through the Land Registry Office to get the maps updated. It is quite common for maps not to be updated in keeping with reality and although not desirable, this aspect of the Figure 6 does not impact upon the neighbourhood plan as it currently stands.	N
109	Peter & Sue Hyem		email	06/11/13		General Comments	Mr & Mrs Hyem have pointed out several minor typographical errors and contextual errors in their email. They support the NP overall	The NPSG thanks Mr & Mrs Hyem and will amend the document to correct these errors	Y